

## Sub-Regional Highway Modelling in London using SATURN

#### **Huy Nguyen**

#### **SATURN User Group Meeting – Epsom**

22 November 2012

#### **Structure of the Presentation**

- Introduction
- HAM Applications
- HAM Development Phase 1
- HAM Development Phase 2
- In-house Developments Tools
- Guidance Note
- Example: White City OAPF

### Why have we built them?



#### Why not have one model per region?

### **HAM Core Boroughs**



#### **Objectives**

Projections of future conditions & impact of policy interventions Basis for scheme models & **Borough models** Test major land-use proposals **Consistent quality** Save money on future model development One set of models to underpin planning Provide more detail than LTS

#### **Objectives**

Available to all to improve the quality of planning across London

#### How the models work together?



#### **Not Sub-regional models**







### What have we done?

- Programme started in 2008
  - North and Central London Highway Assignment Models
  - Continuous Road Side Interview Programme (CRISP)
  - Enhancements to Railplan
  - LoRDM (developed from CRISTAL-D model)
- First major deliverables were in 2009 Central and North London models
- Peer review in January 2010 and our own lessons learnt exercise
- Re-wrote LoRDM to incorporate LTS
- Completed West, South and East models October 2010
- In-house recalibration of West, South and East (Production ver 1) Spring 2011
- Introducing Network spreadsheet, Dashboard, Journey Time, Count DB
- Currently recalibrating all 5 HAMs with revised matrices
- Major count programs

#### Who has delivered the work?



## **Past Application of HAM models**

- **1. Upper Lee Valley OAPF**
- 2. Earl's Court and West Kensington OAPF (Halcrow)
- 3. White City OAPF (TfL / MVA/ Motts)
- 4. Vauxhall Nine-Elms Battersea (VNEB) (SKM)
- 5. Olympic Stadium Legacy (SDG)
- 6. Hayes Town Centre major bid (SDG)
- 7. Bow roundabout early start (TfL)
- 8. Harrow AAP (SKM)
- 9. Cycle Superhighways Swiss Cottage R11 (SKM)

10.Cycle Superhighways - Stratford extension to R2 (TfL) 11.Croydon OAPF (MVA/Motts)

# **Application of HAM models (1)**

- 1. Northern Line Extension to Battersea (TfL/SDG)
- 2. River Crossings regeneration (TfL/Motts/SDG)
- 3. HS2 Euston termini assessment (TfL)
- 4. HS2 Environmental Statement (Halcrow/Arup/Motts)
- 5. Thames Tideway Tunnel (PBA)
- 6. Croydon Whitgift Centre Westfield (WSP)
- 7. Croydon Tramlink (AECOM)
- 8. Redesign of Hanger Lane Gyratory (AECOM)
- 9. A13 Management Company (Motts)

**10.South of River Study (Pell)** 

# **Application of HAM models (2)**

- **11.Olympic Legacy (Arup)**
- 12. Hounslow LDF (WSP)
- 13. Southall OAPF (AECOM)
- 14. South Westminster Traffic Management Study (JMP)
- 15. Aldgate HW changes & Public Realm Improvements (SKM)
- **16. DfT Lower Thames River Crossings (AECOM)**
- **17. Olympic Park Legacy Transformation (Capita)**
- **18.Three Rivers and Watford LDF Model (AECOM for HA)**
- 19.Euston AAP (SKM)
- 20.Park Royal City (Old Oak Common) OAPF (URS)

## **Proposed Application of HAM models**

- 1. West End Project Traffic Modelling (TCR 2-way Scheme)
- 2. Wembley AAP
- 3. R9 Cycle Superhighway (Hounslow to Hyde Park along the A315)
- 4. LB of Enfield
  - Meridian Water
  - Northern Gateway Access Road

5. Herts CC / Broxbourne – Local Development Plan

# **HAM Developments Phase 1**





## **NoLHAM**



## **WeLHAM**







Θ





# **HAM Developments Phase 2**

## **HAM Production Version 2**

- Aim:
  - Consistent in model structure to increase commonality between HAMs
  - Each zone should have no more than 350 trips
  - Each HAM consists of the following annuli that covering:
    - Simulation area (junction details beyond the core boroughs)
    - Speed-flow buffer area (link with congestion effects)
    - Fixed-speed buffer area (link with fixed speed for external area)

# **Zoning System**

- 3 level of zoning corresponding to the different levels of the network details:
  - Detailed zoning (simulation)
  - LTS zoning (speed flow buffer)
  - Aggregated LTS zoning (fixed speed external area).

## **CLoHAM Zoning**



T

## **NoLHAM Zoning**



E

## **WeLHAM Zoning**



E

## **SoLHAM Zoning**



## **ELHAM Zoning**



E

# **LoHAM Zoning**



## **Statistics of HAM zoning**

HAM Area	Detailed zones	LTS zones	Aggregation zones	Total
CLoHAM	910	304	238	1452
ELHAM	1882	256	223	2361
NoLHAM	1498	207	237	1942
SoLHAM	2838	220	201	3259
WeLHAM	1728	227	277	2232
LoHAM	5109	256	84	5449

#### **Networks**

- Simulation network derived from the existing 5 HAMs
- Buffer Networks derived from LTS and LoHAM
  - Speed Flow Curve area
  - Fixed speed area
- Master Network database for all HAMs
- Updating bus routes with BusNet data
- Master database for counts and Rebased to Nov 2009
- Refined zonal system

#### **New set of HAMs**

Area	Network	Zonal system	
Core	Simulation	LoHAM	
Collar	Buffer with speed flow curve	LTS	
External	Buffer with fixed speed	Aggregated of LTS	

#### **Example - ELHAM Model**



## **3-level Network**

- Harmonize HAM models by combining all 5 models
- Network
  - Fully simulated within and including the M25
  - LTS network for the rest of the country
- Matrix
  - LoHAM level
    - Consist of 5449 zones, 5109 zones are in the simulation area
  - LTS level
    - Consist of 1285 zones, 1029 zones are in the simulation area
  - Aggregated level (buffer area only)
    - Consist of 518 zones, 101 buffer zones are coded in the model

# **LoHAM – Primary HAMs**



### **LoHAM Model**



22 November 2012
# HAM Prod v2 - Network Improvements

- Harmonized 5 HAM networks
- Finer zoning system, Centroid Connectors review
- Build Bus Route using TfL BusNet database
- Introducing Bus Lane setbacks
- Updating count database
- Additional Network Details
  - Bus links
  - Water tight cordon for enclosures and screenlines
  - Central and North area URS
  - West and South area Pell Frischman
  - Additional network details for the areas in between

# **Additional Network Details**



## **Existing Counts**

### ~10,000 link counts



### **Count Survey Program - 2012**



### **Useful In-house Developments - Tools**

- Network Spreadsheet
- Dashboard
- TrafficMaster
- Reporting
- Guidance Note
- HAM ITN matching
- LoHAM Count database
- Combined 5 HAMs into LoHAM

## **Network Spreadsheet**



- Pull down menu
- Deal with scenario, year, time period, area
- Generate SATURN network data file

## **Dashboard - Control Panel**

ELHAM Per	forman	ce Dashboard			Control Panel	Drop down lists to select
Model	EHAM	Contraction of the second seco		Simulation Counts		Area
Model Run Date:	20/07/2012	Local Area Network Name:				71100
INITIAL SETUP			Full Path	Click to check ALL Paths & Files		
		SATURN Path:	C-\SATWIN\XEXES10.9.24.Larg	ले.		Button to check Paths and
		Local Temorary Working folder:	C:\Working\			Files
		No of User Classes:	4			
MODEL FILES						
Time Period	Select	UF File Name	Full Path	Click here to run Dashboard	←	Button to run Dashboard
АМ		ELHAM_BY09_AM_v2.0_F.UPS	L:\Modelling\02 Developmen	t/05 EFHAW/06 Wodel/01 BA/500a/03 WE	Runs\Run05\AM\	and extract results from
IP		ELHAM_BY09_IP_v2.0_F.UF5	L:\Modelling\02 Developmen	t/DS EFHWW/0E Wodel/01 SA/S00a/03 WE	Runs\Run05\IP\	OATONN
PM		ELHAM_BY09_PM_v2.0_F.UFS	L:\Modelling\02 Developmen	1/02 ELHAM/06 Model/01 8Y/2009/03 ME	Runs\Run05\PM\	
Re-calculate Dashi	board statisti	cs for a different Network Area:		Re-Calulate Dashboard	<del>~ </del>	Button to run Dashboard
Uplift Factor	1.0	Demand Rows ?	N <b>«</b>			from SATURN ( allows
Add bus observed to		Y				quicker calculation)
Upload Count Dat	abase Extract	from HAM PIG		Upiced CountDatabase	1	Demand or Actual Flows?
Extract Count Database *.xlsx	free fields and	CountDB2 v38-0_extract_test.visx	L\Modelling\02 Development Dashboard\Development\LoH	\01 LoHAM\06 Mode\01 BY\2009\04 641 AM_cbs\	Va\01	Uplift factor on modelled
						flows. To allow quick test

on global increases in

demand

### **Enclosures and Screenlines**



### **Journey Time Routes**



### **TrafficMaster Congestion Plot**



### **Dashboard**



### **Journey Time Validation - Summary**

NE.	MAR	- Reveral	AM10/00	HE CY/NT	
102.0	CLANNIA.	1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	N	N.
6.2	CLUSSIA	( 18 - ()	N	N.	N
600.00	Clantine		N	N	N
10110	GLINOW		N	N.	N.
811-1	CLUMMA		N	N	N
102.38	GLIPHOM	0.0	N	h.	N.
14.50	CLANAR	2	N	N	N.
	CLEWVA	a	N	N.	N.
111	CLARVA	1	N	N	N.
-10	CLINA	an ()		N.	N.
	CLIPPINA	1 II ()	N	N	74
1211	California	12	. N.	N	N.
1211	CLARGA	1 10 1	N	N	N.
20147	Garolia	34	N	h.	N.
(60.6)	Garestan	1	N	N	N
1.401.1	Gineala	- de (	N	N	4
1112	CLIENNA	- W	N	N	14
C 18	GIRGUM	E	N	N	N.
2211	CLARINA		N	N.	N
E-30	CLOSEN	30	N	N	N.
0.21	CELEVIN	0.000	N	¥.	N
1:12	CLINA	22	N	N	N
6-33	CLINUA	33	N	N	19
144	GaliAlA		N	N	N
6.25	CLIENTIA	25	N	N	N
6.05	Classica	20	¥ /	N	N
/.0ET/	CLORUN .	1 I I	N.	N	N.
14211	CLURUM/A		N	N	N
16.00	CLOSUM		M	h	fV.
1.30	Claroun	30	N	N	N
16.1	CLUMAN	31	N	N	N.
1934	CENTRAL	12	N	N.	N.
1263.1	CONTRACTOR OF	No. of Concession, Name	N	N.	N.

Ourper Folder Entantium Methon Default Printer	C'(Working Extract individually Allobe FDF or NetJ2
Outpu	t JT Graphs
Initiali	se all inputs
Set all r	outes to "Y"

ELHAM Dachboard - IP - Simulation Counts	the second of the local second for local	
areantine X.Difference - 38, WB. Cultured, Arti-Closhotze	Enclosure S Difference - Oviliound	
1		
Antoine Millioneaneaneaneaneaneaneaneaneaneaneaneanean	in sec.	
and a		
interest (		
	1	
termine the second s		
	-	
		-
Arrentice S.D.Parence NR. EB. Missurel, Cleanard	Endowers S. Difference - Internet	_
-		
ACCOUNT.	00mm	
#		
	and the second sec	
	and the	
when I		
	-	
- 4000		
and a		
4	here a	
		100

#### JT Assessment - Overall Summary - IP Peak: ELHAM



22 November 2012

### **Journey Time Validation – Individual Route**



22 November 2012

### **Journey Time Validation – Overview**



22 November 2012

### Mini Screenlines - What are we trying to achieve?

- What?
  - Improve the way we approach matrix estimation
  - Make the best and most appropriate use of the tools we have
- Why?
  - Better understanding; more control; improved result
- How?
  - Matrix estimation in SATURN (SATME2) gives us flexibility to use groups of counts as well as for individual links
  - We want to make small adjustments to the matrix to better reflect traffic volumes observed

### **ELHAM Mini-Screenlines**



### **Guidance Note - Use of models for local studies**

- 1. Local Network Audit
- 2. Local Base Year Model Validation Check
- 3. Local Model Validation Standards and Sign-off
- 4. Future year background growth: Development of Base Minus
- 5. Development Trip Generation and Distribution
- 6. Local Signal Optimisation
- 7. Development and Use of HAM Cordon Models
- 8. Sensitivity tests
- 9. Transport studies highways statistics and thresholds

# **Example: White City OAPF**

### **Headline Summary**

### Study Assumptions

- Scenario B: 6300 new homes, 8400 new jobs.
- 2031 Committed Transport network includes Crossrail, Mayor's investments on walking and cycling, and promotion of smarter travel choices.

### Development Impact only:

• Scenario B would generate about 13000 trips per day. Of this, about 1000 development trips are made in the PM peak hour.

### **White City Development Area**



### **Highway SATURN Modelling**



### **CLoHAM Network and Zonal System**



22 November 2012

### **Network and Zone modification for White City**



22 November 2012

### **Calibration - Delay**



22 November 2012

60

### **Delay as shown in TrafficMaster**



22 November 2012

### **Calibration – Count Locations**



22 November 2012

### **Calibration – Count Results (2009)**

#### White City Local Area Validation (2009 PM Peak)

Direction 1

Direction 2

SCREENLINE	DESCRIPTION	DIRECTION	ANODE	BNODE	Observed	Modelled	Diff	GEH	GEH < 5	DMRB
Just Inside Extension Zone	Addison Road	inbound	34348	34217	201	206	5		) 1	
Just Inside Extension Zone	Holland Park Avenue	Inbound	34304	34208	747	961	213			
Just Inside Extension Zone	North Pole Road	Inbound	34158	34171	348	281	-67			
lust Outside Extension Zone	Uxbridge Rd	Inbound	32350	32173	1514	1405	-108	1	1	
lust Outside Extension Zone	A40 Westway east of Bloemfontain Road	Inbound	32092	37718	2431	2480	49	1 1	1 a	1
lust Outside Extension Zone	Du Cane Rd	inbound	32166	34010	406	436	30	1	1	1
Western Extension Boundary	Holland Road (Addison Gardens-Holland Park Roundabout)	Clockwise	34385	34212	1003	1042	39	1	1 1	1
Western Extension Boundary	West Cross Route	Clockwise	34311	34164	2083	1960	-123	1	1	1
Western Extension Boundary	Wood Lane (just 5 of Westway)	Clockwise	34321	34170	551	688	137	5		1
Western Extension Boundary	Wood Lane (just N of Westway)	Clockwise	34314	34010	1257	1100	-157	5	1	
Western Extension Boundary	Scrubs Lane	Clockwise	32213	32167	1126	1003	-122	4	1	1
Orbital Screenline West	Campden Hill Road	Northbound	34301	34200	472	463	9			1 5
Orbital Screenline West	Holland Park Gardens	Northbound	34383	34284	430	388	-42	2	1	
Orbital Screenline West	Shepherd's Bush Road, south of A402 Roman Road	Northbound	32200	32099	741	769	28	1	1	1 3
Orbital Screenline West	Hammersmith Grove	Northbound	32222	32100	274	274	0	0	1	
Enclosure Notting Hill	Ladbrooke Grove	Inbound	34349	34192	451	523	72	1 1	N. 1	
Enclosure_Notting Hill	West Cross Route	Inbound	34166	34312	1960	1728	-232			1
Enclosure, Notting Hill	North Pole Road	Inbound	34171	34178	294	281	-12	1	1	1 2
Enclosure_Hammersmith	Shepherd's Bush Road north of Hammersmith Road	Inbound	32099	32200	614	585	-30	1 1	1 1	1
	No of valladtion links								19	1
	No of links passing the validation criteria								14	

~90%

% Pass

SCREENLINE	DESCRIPTION	DIRECTION	ANODE	BNODE	Observed	Modelled		GEH	GEH < 5	DMRB
Just Inside Extension Zone	Oakwood Court	Outbound	34350	34217	113	114	1	1000	0	1
Just Inside Extension Zone	Holland Park Avenue	Outbound	34208	34304	825	819	-6		0	1
Just Inside Extension Zone	North Pole Road	Outbound	34171	34158	403	488	85		4	1
Just Outside Extension Zone	Uxbridge Rd	Outbound	32173	32350	1674	1722	48		1	1
Just Outside Extension Zone	A4D Westway east of Bloemfontain Road	Outbound	32061	32092	3052	2881	-171		3	1
Just Outside Extension Zone	Du Cane Rd	Outbound	34010	32166	411	444	33		2	1
Western Extension Boundary	Holland Road (Addison Gardens- Holland Park Roundabout)	Anticlockwise	34212	34385	1334	1419	86		2	1
Western Extension Boundary	West Cross Route	Anticlockwise	34166	34312	1960	1728	-232		5	0
Western Extension Boundary	A40 Slip Road (westbound offslip)	Anticlockwise	34167	34170	764	713	-51		2	1
Western Extension Boundary	Wood Lane (just 5 of Westway)	Anticlockwise	34170	34321	729	648	-81		3	1
Western Extension Boundary	Wood Lane (just N of Westway)	Anticlockwise	34314	34313	826	968	142		5	1
Western Extension Boundary	Scrubs Lane	Anticlockwise	32094	32167	624	647	23		1	1
Orbital Screenline West	Campden Hill Road	Southbound	34200	34301	299	287	-12		2	4
Orbital Screenline West	Holland Park Gardens	Southbound	34284	34383	167	173	6		0	4
Orbital Screenline West	Shepherd's Bush Road, south of A402 Roman Road	Southbound	32099	32200	614	585	-30		1	1
Orbital Screenline West	Hammersmith Grove	Southbound	32100	32222	380	290	-90		5	1
Enclosure_Notting Hill	Ladbrooke Grove	Outbound	34192	34349	381	333	-48		3	1
Enclosure_Notting Hill	Royal Crescent	Outbound	34378	34207	554	561	7		0	1
Enciosure_Notting Hill	West Cross Route	Outbound	34311	34164	2083	1960	-123		3	1
Enclosure_Notting Hill	North Pole Road	Outbound	34178	34171	345	488	143		7	0
Enclosure_Hammersmith	Shepherd's Bush Road north of Hammersmith Road	Outbound	32200	32099	. 741	769	28	1	1	1
the second s	No of valiadtion links	and the second of the	1.1.1.1.1.1.1			in tracers			2	1
	No of links passing the validation criteria								1	9

No of links passing the validation criteria

% Pass

15 90%

90%

84%

89%

No of links passing the validation criteria

## **Calibration – Journey Time Routes**



	Directi	Total	Total Obs	Total Mod	Pass/F
Routes	on	Length	Time	Time	ail
1.1	SB	6474	1456	1268	PASS
1.2	NB	4695	1708	1001	FAIL
2.1	EB	1829	124	146	PASS
2.2	WB	1885	522	490	PASS
3.1	EB	1496	298	239	PASS
3.2	WB	1491	314	260	PASS
4.1	EB	1138	170	192	PASS
4.2	WB	1128	249	213	PASS
5.1	WB	1008	208	213	PASS
5.2	EB	1001	176	236	PASS
6.1	SB	3318	432	534	FAIL
6.2	NB	3277	448	354	FAIL

Θ

22 November 2012

### **Development Trips by Zone**





### **Base Year 2009 Output: Actual Flow**



22 November 2012

### **Base Year 2009 Output: V/C**



### **Base Year 2009 Output: Delay**



Ξ

### **BM31-BY09 Output: Actual Flow**



Ξ

### **Base Minus 2031: Delay and V/C**



### Scenario B 2031: Delay and V/C



### ScB31-BM31: Actual Flow


#### **Gross Impact - WC Development**



### **Net Impact - WC Development**



## **Traffic Displacement 2031**



# **Core Study Area Statistics**

Scenario	PCU-kms	PCU-Hrs	Average speed
BM vs Base 09	12.1%	21.6%	-7.8%
Scn B vs BM	1.6%	5.7%	-3.9%
MW11 vs Base 11	1.7%	5.9%	-4.0%

# Conclusion

- Background growth between 2009 and 2031 (14%) resulted in additional pressure on already congested network
- Development demand increase by ~950 highway trips (2-ways) adding to congestion at key junctions
- Comparison between With and Without development scenarios indicates relatively small changes in flows but substantial displacement of through traffic (~1/3 of dev trips)
- Local mitigations will be required to reduce traffic impact



#### huynguyen@tfl.gov.uk